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Abstract

Background: There is limited data from Africa on the effect of pre- and post-natal growth and infant feeding on later body composition. This study’s aim was to investigate the effect of birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and infant growth on adolescent body composition, using data from a Ugandan birth cohort.

Methods: Data was collected prenatally from pregnant women and prospectively from their resulting live offspring. Data on body composition (fat mass index [FMI] and fat free mass index [FFMI]) was collected from 10- and 11-year olds. Linear regression was used to assess the effect of birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and infant growth on adolescent body composition, using data from a Ugandan birth cohort.

Results: 177 adolescents with a median age of 10.1 years were included in analysis, with mean FMI 2.9 kg/m² (standard deviation [SD] 1.2), mean FFMI 12.8 kg/m² (SD 1.4) and mean birth weight 3.2 kg (SD 0.5). 90 (50.9%) were male and 110 (63.2%) were exclusively breastfeeding at six weeks of age. Birth weight was associated with FMI in adolescence (regression coefficient β= 0.66 per kg increase in birth weight, 95% confidence interval [CI] (0.04, 1.29), P=0.02), while exclusive breastfeeding (β= -0.43, 95% CI (-1.06, 0.19), P=0.12), growth 0-6 months (β= 0.24 95%
CI (-0.43, 0.92), P=0.48) and growth 6-12 months (β= 0.61, 95% CI (-0.23, 1.46), P=0.11) were not associated with FMI among adolescents. Birth weight (β= 0.91, 95% CI (0.17, 1.65), P=0.01) was associated with FFMI in adolescence. Exclusive breastfeeding (β= 0.17, 95% CI (-0.60, 0.94), P=0.62), growth 0-6 months (β= 0.56, 95% CI (-0.20, 1.33), P= 0.10), and growth 6-12 months (β= -0.02, 95% CI (-1.02, 0.99), P=0.97) were not associated with FFMI.

Conclusions: Birth weight predicted body composition parameters in Ugandan early adolescents, however, exclusive breastfeeding at six weeks of age and growth in infancy did not.
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Introduction
Previously neglected due to high burdens of infectious disease morbidity, attention paid to Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in Africa has recently increased. Studies suggest that high blood pressure (BP) and other cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) have escalated on the African continent over recent decades, disproportionately affecting populations at younger ages than in more affluent countries. The rising burden of NCDs in low and middle-income countries is of public health and economic significance, given the fragile health care systems and associated cost implications. In Africa, deaths due to NCDs are rising faster than anywhere else in the world. An understanding of the pathways for development of NCDs in this setting is essential for informing interventions for prevention of NCDs.

Body composition, specifically increased adiposity, is associated with risk of NCDs later in life and early-life factors, such as pre- and post-natal growth and infant feeding, have been reported to program and alter body composition. Sub-optimal nutrition in the foetal or infant periods triggers cellular and epigenetic changes that may affect later body composition. Rapid growth especially in infancy may result in metabolic changes which can manifest as increased adiposity and result in later NCDs. Thus, body composition change represents a mechanism through which early-life exposures may influence susceptibility to NCDs in adulthood.

Evidence, predominantly from high-income countries, has shown that compared to normal birth weight infants, both low and high birth weight infants may bear an increased risk of adulthood obesity. Rapid weight gain and lack of exclusive breastfeeding in infancy have been associated with increased adiposity in adulthood. Exclusive breastfeeding has also been reported to be associated with a reduction in fat mass (FM; a proxy for adiposity). However, results are inconsistent, with some studies finding no evidence for the association between birth weight (low or high) and FM in late adolescence or adulthood, or for an impact of these early-life factors on risk of NCDs later in life. Results as reported by some studies suggest mixed evidence for an association between birth weight and fat free mass (FFM; a proxy for lean muscle mass) in late adolescence or adulthood.

Few studies from Africa have investigated the relationship between birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and growth in infancy, and body composition later in life, with tools for measuring body composition not widely available. Studies from South Africa and Cameroon found that birth weight and linear growth were positively associated with both FM and FFM. However, the impact of early-life factors on later body composition remains understudied among populations from Africa.

Methods
The current study used prospectively collected data from the Entebbe Mother and Baby Study (EMaBS) birth cohort. The EMaBS started life as a randomised controlled trial of anthelmintic treatment interventions. It was conducted in Wakiso district, on the northern shores of Lake Victoria in Uganda. Between 2003 and 2005, pregnant women attending antenatal care at Entebbe Hospital and residing in Entebbe Municipality or Katabi sub-county were enrolled into a double-blind randomised placebo-controlled trial designed to evaluate the effect of deworming treatment in pregnancy and childhood on response to childhood vaccines and infections. A detailed description of the trial design has been given elsewhere.

We analysed prospectively collected data from the EMaBS, to investigate if birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and growth in infancy were associated with body composition (fat mass index [FMI] and fat free mass indices [FFMI]) in early adolescence. Birth weight was measured and recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg for infants delivered in Entebbe hospital using weighing scales (Seca GmbH & Co. KG, Hamburg, Germany), and captured as recorded on child health cards for infants delivered elsewhere. Weight was measured at six months and then annually starting at one year of age using weighing scales (Seca GmbH & Co. KG, Hamburg, Germany). Height was measured at six months and then annually to the nearest 0.1cm using stadiometers (Seca213 GmbH & Co. KG, Hamburg, Germany). Information on feeding practices was obtained at six weeks of age.

The trial was completed in 2011 when all children had turned five years of age. After the trial completion, the offspring continued under follow up, being seen at annual routine visits and when sick. Between 20th May 2014 and 16th June 2016, 10- and 11-year olds in the EMaBS attending the study clinic for their annual visit were enrolled into the EMaBS blood pressure study (BPS). Adolescents participated once, on their first 10- or 11-year study visit occurring during the study period. Enrolment into the study was postponed for adolescents presenting with malaria (fever with malaria) or other illness until they were free of any illness. A detailed study design has been described elsewhere.

The primary aim of the EMaBS BPS was to investigate whether birth weight and pre- and peri-natal exposures are important in programming BP in children in Uganda; further details are described elsewhere. From 21st January 2015 to 23rd December 2015, additional data on body composition (FM and
FFMI) was collected from EMaBS participants enrolled into the BPS; outside this period the body composition analyser machine was not available. Briefly, adolescents stood barefoot on the posterior electrode base while holding strongly the two anterior electrodes handles of the segmental body composition analyser machine (TANITA BC-418, TANITA Corporation, Tokyo Japan) as described elsewhere. To avoid ambiguities from using body composition percentages, height normalized indices (FMI in kg/m² and FFMI in kg/m²) were computed and used for analysis. FMI is considered as a measure of adiposity and FFMI as a measure of lean muscle mass.

**Statistical methods**

Study exposures were birth weight, breastfeeding status at six weeks, early infant growth (0-6 months) and late infant growth (6-12 months), while the study outcomes were FMI and FFMI at 10 or 11 years of age. Birth weight was considered for analysis as both a continuous variable and as a categorical variable (low birth weight <2.5kg, normal weight 2.5-3.5kg and high birth weight >3.5kg). The 2006 World Health Organisation growth standards were used to compute weight for age standardised Z-scores at birth, and at six and 12 months of age. For each participant, growth for the periods 0-6 months and 6-12 months was calculated as the change in Z-score during that period. Growth in each time period (0-6 months, 6-12 months) was categorised as either increased or normal growth using the cut-off of a 0.67 increase in z-score.

Characteristics of study participants were compared with those of cohort members who did not participate using t-tests and chi-squared tests. Descriptive statistics were calculated as frequencies, means and standard deviations. Spearman’s correlation was used to assess correlations of body composition indices with each other and with birth weight. Linear regression models were fitted separately for FMI and FFMI, adjusting for confounders. Potential confounders considered were maternal age, body mass index (BMI), education, area of residence and HIV status; household socio-economic index at enrolment; and offspring’s place of delivery, sex, age at body composition analysis, family history of hypertension, type of school attended, days/week animal-proteins were eaten, days/week fruits were eaten, days/week vegetables were eaten, days/week starchy foods were eaten, days/week sugared drinks were taken. Factors associated with the outcome, or with the exposure of interest were added to the model concurrently and likelihood ratio tests were used to assess adjusted associations between each variable and the outcome.

Current BMI, which can be partitioned into FMI plus FFMI, was considered to be on the causal pathway between birth weight and FMI or FFMI, thus was not considered as a potential confounder for inclusion in regression models. Assumptions underlying the linear regression model analysis (linear relationship between the dependent and predictor variables, homoscedasticity, normally distributed residuals) were investigated using a combination of scatter plots, plots of residuals against fitted values, and normal probability plots. The possibility of multicollinearity due to inclusion of correlated predictor variables was assessed using the change in standard error method.

For each of the main exposures, factors associated with that exposure or with the outcome at a 5% level of significance were included in the final model for that exposure. Three a priori confounders, household socio-economic status, age and sex were included in the final model regardless of whether associated with the exposure or outcome or not. The test for trend was used to investigate the shape of the relationship between birth weight and the outcomes. Likelihood ratio test p-values were calculated. STATA version 14.2 (College Station, Texas, USA) was used for data analysis. Interaction terms were fitted to assess whether birth weight might modify the effect of breastfeeding or increased growth on the outcomes (FMI or FFMI).

**Ethics and consent**

The study was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of the Uganda Virus Research Institute (GC/127/13/11/35), the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (MV/625) and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (Ref.11253). Respectively, written informed consent and assent were obtained from parent/guardian and adolescents for study participation.

**Results**

Of the 2345 live born EMaBS offspring, 1119 (47.7%) enrolled into the BPS at 10 or 11 years of age, and 177 (7.6%) had data on body composition taken and were included in the analysis. Of the 177 participants included, 90 (50.9%) were male; 175 (98.9%) were singleton births; and 161 (91.0%) were not exposed to maternal HIV in pregnancy (Table 1, Underlying data). Regarding the key exposures, the mean birth weight was 3.2 kg (standard deviation (SD) 0.5); 13 (9.4%) had low birth weight, 92 (66.2%) normal birth weight and 34 (24.5%) high birth weight with 38 participants of unknown birth weight. In total, 110 (63.2%) were exclusively breastfed at six weeks of age; with three participants missing data on this exposure. 108 (61%) and 123 (69%) participants had information on growth between 0 and 6 months, and between 6 and 12 months, respectively (the remaining were missing anthropometry for at least one of the time points and thus the change in z-score could not be calculated); 35 (32.4%) had increased growth in the first 6 months of life and 15 (12.2%) had increased growth between 6 and 12 months of age.

Adolescents who had body composition measured were similar to the original EMaBS cohort members who did not participate for most characteristics, except participants were more likely to be born to separated/divorced/widowed mothers (P-value = 0.037) and were less likely to be born to mothers with hookworm infections in pregnancy (P-value = 0.036).

At participation, offspring had a median age of 10.1 years (IQR: 10.0 to 10.7), mean BMI 15.8 kg/m² (SD 1.9), mean FMI 2.9 kg/m² (SD 1.2) and mean FFMI 12.8 kg/m² (SD 1.4). Among males, the mean FMI was 2.7 kg/m² (SD 1.3) and mean FFMI...
Table 1. Participant characteristics (N=177).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency/ Mean (sd)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal at enrolment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>24.7 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household economic index (1 lowest, 6 highest) (n=176)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m^2)</td>
<td>24.5 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence (n=176)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offspring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>10.4 (0.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, kg (n=139)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass index</td>
<td>2.9 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat free mass index</td>
<td>12.8 (1.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusively breastfed at 6 weeks (n=174)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entebbe Hospital</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other places</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexposed</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed not infected</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public hair development (n=174)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pubertal</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubertal</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast development (girls only) (n=83)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pubertal</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubertal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages may be ± 100 due rounding.
SD; standard deviation.
Missing data: area of residence 1; birth weight 38; pubic hair development 3; breast development 4; days fruit eaten/week 3; days vegetables eaten/week 1; days proteins eaten/week 1; days sugared drinks taken/week 1; type of school attended 1.

was 13.3 kg/m^2 (SD 1.1), while in females the mean FMI was 3.1 kg/m^2 (SD 0.9) and mean FFMI was 12.4 kg/m^2 (SD 1.5) (Figure 1). Birth weight was positively correlated with both FMI (r=0.35, p-value<0.001) and FFMI (r=0.34, p-value<0.001). There was strong correlation between FMI and FFMI with r=0.517, p-value <0.001.

The relationships between the main exposures, and FMI and FFMI are shown in Table 2. Unadjusted estimates show that FMI increased by 0.73 kg/m^2 per unit kilogram increase in birth weight, 95% confidence interval (CI):0.33-1.13. When birth weight was treated as a categorical variable, it showed a dose-response relationship with FMI (P-trend=0.007). Further investigation of this dose-response relationship showed no departure from linearity (P=0.92). Exclusive breastfeeding at six weeks (β= -0.19, 95% CI: -0.55, 0.17), increased growth between birth and 6 months of age (β= 0.15, 95% CI: -0.42, 0.71) and increased growth between 6 and 12 months (β= 0.62, 95% CI: -0.10, 1.33) were not associated with FMI in unadjusted
analysis. In multivariable analysis birth weight ($\beta = 0.66$, 95% CI: 0.04, 1.29) remained associated with FMI; exclusive breastfeeding at six weeks ($\beta = -0.43$, 95% CI: -1.06, 0.19), increased growth between birth and 6 months of age ($\beta = 0.24$, 95% CI: -0.43, 0.92) and increased growth between 6 and 12 months ($\beta = 0.61$, 95% CI: -0.23, 1.46) were not associated with FMI.

Birth weight was positively associated with FFMI in unadjusted analysis ($\beta = 0.68$, 95% CI: 0.21, 1.16), while exclusive breastfeeding at six weeks ($\beta = 0.14$ 95% CI: -0.30, 0.57), increased growth between birth and 6 months of age ($\beta = 0.36$, 95% CI: -0.29, 1.00) and increased growth between 6 and 12 months ($\beta = -0.51$, 95% CI: -1.33, 0.32) were not associated with FFMI. When birth weight was analysed as a categorical variable, findings were consistent with a linear relationship with FFMI (P-trend=0.009, p-value for departure from trend 0.93). In multivariable analysis, birth weight ($\beta = 0.91$, 95% CI: 0.17, 1.65) remained associated with FFMI; there remained no evidence of association for the other exposures. There was no evidence that the effect of breastfeeding or growth rate on FMI or FFMI differed by sex or birth weight: for example, for FMI, p-values were 0.97, 0.47 and 0.60 for interaction between birth weight and breastfeeding, growth 0–6 months and growth 6–12 months, respectively. The corresponding interaction p-values for FFMI were 0.12, 0.13 and 0.16, respectively. For all analyses, assessment of the assumptions underlying the linear regression analysis indicated that these were met, and there was no suggestion of multicollinearity.

**Discussion**

We hypothesised that birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and rate of growth in infancy were each associated with body composition indices among Ugandan adolescents aged 10-11 years. This study showed that birth weight was associated with both adolescent FMI and adolescent FFMI but there was no association between exclusive breastfeeding in the first six weeks or growth rate in infancy and FMI or FFMI among early adolescents.

Our findings of a positive association between birth weight and both FMI and FFMI are consistent with results from a cross-sectional study among 557 Cameroonian children aged 5–12 years\(^2\), and from a birth cohort study among South Africans, with body composition assessed at ages 10 and 22 years\(^21,32\).

We did not find evidence for an effect of exclusive breastfeeding in the first six weeks on FMI or FFMI. This was contrary to results reported in a meta-analysis\(^33\) that showed that on average, each additional month of exclusive breastfeeding reduced adiposity by 4%. The lack of association between exclusive breastfeeding in the first six weeks with adiposity or lean muscle mass development in this study supports results among 18-year-old Brazilians enrolled in a population-based birth cohort\(^34\). In our study, only 63% of mothers reported exclusive breastfeeding at six weeks but nearly all mothers (172 (97.2%)) were giving some breast milk and only 2 (1.1%) had weaned, thus a differential effect of breast milk may be hard to detect in this population. The relationship between exclusive breastfeeding in the first six months of life and adolescents’ body composition was not examined because data on feeding status at six months was not collected.

There was no association between increased rate of growth in the first six months of life or from 6 to 12 months and FMI or FFMI. These findings do not support earlier studies predominantly from European counties reviewed in 18,35 and results from a later study among 909 Dutch term infants\(^35\) which reported positive associations between growth rate and body composition. Our study was likely underpowered to detect true associations: of the 177 adolescents for whom body composition data were available, data on growth were only available for around two
Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted associations between birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and growth in infancy, and body composition outcomes (N=177).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposures</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th></th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (95 % CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>β (95 % CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (continuous) (n=139)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.33, 1.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.66 (0.04, 1.29)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (categorical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2.5 kg (n=13)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 to 3.5 (n=92)</td>
<td>0.54 (-0.18, 1.26)</td>
<td>0.87 (-0.06, 1.80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3.5 kg (n=34)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.24, 1.82)</td>
<td>0.007 [trend]</td>
<td>1.09 (-0.04, 2.23)</td>
<td>0.051 [trend]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusively breastfed at 6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n=64)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n=110)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.55, 0.17)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>-0.43 (-1.06, 0.19)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth between 0 to 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=73)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased (n=35)</td>
<td>0.15 (-0.42, 0.71)</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.24 (-0.43, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth between 6 to 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=108)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased (n=15)</td>
<td>0.62 (-0.10, 1.33)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.61 (-0.23, 1.46)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat free mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (continuous) (n=139)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.21, 1.16)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.91 (0.17, 1.65)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (categorical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2.5 kg (n=13)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 to 3.5 (n=92)</td>
<td>0.61 (-0.24, 1.45)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.01, 2.21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3.5 kg (n=34)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.23, 2.09)</td>
<td>0.009 [trend]</td>
<td>1.53 (0.19, 2.87)</td>
<td>0.020 [trend]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusively breastfed at 6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n=64)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n=110)</td>
<td>0.14 (-0.30, 0.57)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.17 (-0.60, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth between 0 to 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=73)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased (n=35)</td>
<td>0.36 (-0.29, 1.00)</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.56 (-0.20, 1.33)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth between 6 to 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=108)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased (n=15)</td>
<td>-0.51 (-1.33, 0.32)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>-0.02 (-1.02, 0.99)</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In multivariable analysis, all factors shown in the table were added to the model together. Adjusted associations were adjusted for maternal characteristics at enrolment (household socio-economic status, age, body mass index, HIV status) and adolescents’ characteristics (place of delivery, age, sex, days animal-protein eaten/week, days fruits eaten/week)

* Likelihood ratio test p-value

Many studies have used body mass index (BMI) as a surrogate outcome measure for body adiposity. However, evidence to date shows that BMI creates ambiguities since it cannot specifically differentiate between FM and FFM. We therefore used direct measurement of body composition and the height normalised indices for FM and FFM which are reported to be more precise measures of adiposity and lean muscle, respectively. The strong correlation between FMI and FFMI suggests that, for the Uganda adolescents participating in our study, FMI and FFMI both increase proportionally with an increase in BMI. This is
reflected by the fact that birth weight was positively associated with both increased adiposity and increased lean muscle mass in early adolescence.

We used a bio-electrical impedance body composition analyzer machine to measure segmental body composition among the study adolescents. Bio-electrical impedance has been reported to have good correlation with other methods such as dual energy absorptiometry\(^\text{17}\) and, importantly in this setting, provided a relatively inexpensive field method of body composition analysis.

To our knowledge, this is the first study in East Africa to investigate the impact of early-life factors on the body composition parameters FMI and FFMI. Strengths of the study are its cohort design and the robust methods used for measuring body composition parameters. Data on the exposures of interest and potential confounders were collected prospectively, minimizing recall and reporter bias. Exposures and confounders were determined before the BP study was conceptualized and designed. However, the possibility of residual confounding due to unmeasured variables cannot be ruled out. Some exposure information such as exclusive breastfeeding at six weeks was not available for all of the adolescents. In this study we were unable to differentiate the effects of low birth weight due to growth restriction in utero from effects due to pre-term birth because accurate data on gestational age was not available in this population.

Whereas we have investigated the effect of two postnatal factors (rate of growth and exclusive breastfeeding) on later disease risk, further studies should investigate the effect of other postnatal factors such as current diet, age at menarche, sleep patterns/duration and the effect of an obesogenic environment on body composition. In conclusion, exclusive breastfeeding, and infant growth were not associated with body composition among early adolescents from a tropical setting. However, birth weight is a good predictor of both adiposity and lean muscle mass later in life in this setting.

**Data availability**

Underlying data

Figshare: BP_Body_Comp.xlsx. [https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7775669.v1\(^\text{11}\)]

This project contains the following underlying data:

- BP_Body_Comp.xlsx (Body composition data from the cohort with data dictionary)
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This study aimed to generate evidence about the association between birthweight, exclusive breastfeeding and infant growth with body composition at ages 10-11 years in a subsample of 177 Ugandan children that were part of a larger cohort study, the EMaBS study. The study authors collected body composition data using bioelectrical impedance and used the weight data collected at birth, at 6 and 12 months of age to assess prenatal growth (birthweight) and infant growth (a change of weight-for-age z-score between birth and 6 months and between 6 to 12 months greater than 0.67 z-scores).

The study has the potential to contribute novel findings to the body of literature assessing the early in life contributions to NCD susceptibility later in life from the perspective of the Ugandan context under which the data was collected. I have made a list of comments below that could help the authors improve their work.

Introduction:
- Second paragraph: I am unsure if it is correct to state that body composition changes represents a mechanism through which early-life exposure may influence NCDs later in life, given that our current understanding is the early life exposures affects both body composition and NCD susceptibility.
- Third paragraph: Fat mass is incorrectly stated as a proxy of adiposity. Measurement of fat mass is a direct measure of adiposity.

Methods:
- The methods section would benefit from editing and restructuring. For instance, it might be clearer to describe the three phases that this cohort has undergone in one paragraph, rather than in separate paragraphs. Describe all the measurements used for this analysis in full rather than to direct the reader to a published manuscript (e.g., information about the tools used to assess infant feeding, household wealth, etc.).
• Data handling (e.g. estimating z-scores, defining categories, etc.) and the statistical analysis undertaken are clearer when explained separately.

• The description of the analysis does not seem to reflect the information presented in the results section. The methods section mentions the use of correlation analysis, linear regression analysis, likelihood ratio tests, etc., but these are not clearly presented in the results.

• Please, provide details about the standard error method mentioned to have been used to assess multicollinearity.

• It is unclear at what age the dietary assessment data used for the analysis was collected.

• The authors do not present a rationale about their choice of methods for assessing infant growth namely, the arithmetic change in z-scores, given the wealth of literature discussing how this selection affects the findings and potentially generate incorrect results (for examples of this discussion see Tu et al., 2006¹ and Lucas et al., 1999²).

Results:
• Most of the information provided in the form of tables is replicated in the written narrative. Consider removing it from the narrative to make the manuscript concise and easier to read.

• The value of Figure 1 is unclear, as it is not mentioned within the narrative. Perhaps it would be more informative to present this data in a Hattori chart manner (see Wells, 2000³).

• Table 2 is confusing. It is unclear what models were tested, whether birthweight was included twice in a model, as a continuous and/or categorical variable, or whether they are presenting separate models. This makes it difficult to assess the authors’ findings.

Discussion:
• The authors rightly state that they might have been underpowered. It might be useful for readers if they elaborate further of how this might underpin their results.

• The authors should include a discussion about the methods used to assess the variables used for the study. For instance, bioelectrical impedance measures the electrical properties of the body with greater emphasis on lean mass (a good electricity conductor) than of fat mass (a poor electricity conductor). Furthermore, Tanita systems rely for the estimation of lean and fat mass on equations derived on populations different from that of this study. How would this have affected their findings? Would the method used to assess breastfeeding be sensitive enough to differentiate different feeding patterns, what about children that were predominantly breastfed?

• The authors mention segmental body composition, but the relevance of this statement is unclear.

• There is little discussion about the context under which the data was collected, e.g. Ugandan context where HIV is prevalent, and whether this could have or not affected their results.

• Given the sexual dimorphism already observed with body composition data at the ages of 10-11 years, it would be useful for the authors to discuss why they chose to not undertake separate analysis by sex.
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The work is original and investigated the relationship between birth weight, exclusive breastfeeding and growth in infancy, and body composition among 10-11 year-old children (early adolescence) from Uganda. The findings will contribute to the understanding of the link between early like exposure and growth with later health and development particularly in populations living in contexts similar with Uganda.

- The study participants were drawn from a birth cohort that was established for a different study. Originally, they had a birth cohort of 2345 live births of which 1119 (47.7%) were enrolled for another study at 10 or 11 years of age. This study used 177 (7.6%) of these who had body composition data. Although the investigators tried to show that the huge drop-out had little selection bias, it was not clear on what variables were they matching or similar (page 4). I suggest to depict the sampling process including exclusion criteria using a PRISMA flow diagram.

- The data represented mainly urban (65%) and women populations with high HIV prevalence (9%); of which the offspring, 8% were exposed but uninfected while 1% were infected. The data has also included few variables as indicators of stages of puberty. However, it was not clear why the HIV and these variables were not included in the final regression model - see table 2.

- I don’t see the purpose of Figure 1. The difference between FMI and FFMI in both sexes is obvious. One option is to show BC by sex.

- The investigators claim that this study is unique in East Africa (page 8); this does not seem justified. In the broader sense of East Africa, for instance, there are published articles from the Infant Anthropometry and Body Composition (iABC) cohort in Ethiopia that could have been used as reference(s) in this paper.
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